RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 0 7 2005



NO. 1603

| John S. (| John S. Gollar |
|---------------------|---------------------|
| 2555 Grand | 2555 Grand Blvd |
| ER# Kansa | Kansas City |
| 765 Missouri 64108 | Missouri 64108-2613 |
| 816.474 | 816.474,6550 |
| 816.421.554 | 816.421.5547 Fa) |
| Jgolian ⊕ sh | jgelian@shb.com |
| • | , |
| | |
| _ | · |

COMMENTS:

Application No. 09/829,273



Geneva
Houston
Kansas City
London
Miarni
Orange County
Overland Park
San Francisco
Tampa

Washington, D.C.

Confidentiality Notice: The documents accompanying this telecopy preservission contain confidential information belonging to the sender which is legally privileged. The information is intended only for the use of the individual(s) or entity(ies) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the conterns of this nelecopied information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this telecopy in error, please immediately notify us by telephone to arrange for return of the original documents to us.

Serial No. 09/829,273 Filed: 4/9/2001

RESEIVED CENTRAL FAX SENTER

CRNI.78765

PATENT

SEP 0 7 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| Applicant: | Wayne R. Myers |) Attorney Docket No. | CRNI.78765 |
|--------------|---|------------------------------|-------------------|
| Serial No. | 09/829,273 |) Group Art Unit: | 2654 |
| Filing Date: | April 9, 2001 |) Examiner: | Thomas Shortledge |
| TŔANSLATI | ZED SYSTEM FOR NG MEDIAL TEST TO PLAIN LANGUAGE |) Confirmation No. () | 8119 |

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION 37 C.F.R. § 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being sent by

facsimile to fax no. 703-872-9306 on:

09/01/2005 Date

VIA FACSIMILE 703-872-9306

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SUMMARY OF TELEPHONIC INTERVIEW

Pursuant to MPEP § 713.04, Applicants submit this Summary setting forth a statement of the substance of the telephonic interview on September 7, 2005.

Brief Description of the Nature of any Exhibit Shown or any Demonstration Conducted:

No exhibits were shown and no demonstrations were conducted.

Identification of the Claims Discussed:

Independent claims 1, 21, and 41 were discussed.

Identification of Specific Prior Art Discussed:

U.S. Patent No. 5,926,526 to Rapaport et al. ("Rapaport") was discussed.

1754682v1

Serial No. 09/829,273 Filed: 4/9/2001

CRNI.78765

Identification of the Principle Proposed Amendments of a Substantive Nature Discussed:

Applicant's representative, the Examiner, and the Examiner's supervisor discussed amending independent claims 1, 21, and 41 to remove previous amendments that the Examiner and the Examiner's supervisor indicated are unnecessary to overcome the Rapaport reference in view of the discussion during the interview.

The General Thrust of the Principal Arguments of Applicant and the Examiner:

With respect to the 102(b) rejection of independent claims 1, 21, and 41, Applicant's representative discussed distinctions between the present application and the Rapaport reference. Generally, the Rapaport reference fails to describe a computer system that may receive a medical test result and translate the medical test result into a plain language explanation. Instead, the system in the Rapaport reference merely serves a means to communicate a message to a patient and the system itself performs no translation.

General Indication Of Any Other Pertinent Matters Discussed:

The Examiner kindly indicated that he would take all arguments into consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

96hn S. Golian Reg. No. 54,702

SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P. 2555 Grand Blvd. Kansas City, Missouri 64108-2613 Telephone (816) 474-6550